



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,751	05/25/2000	Theodore Jack London Shrader	AUS920000168US1	6562
35525	7590	09/21/2010		
IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			EXAMINER	
			WORJOH, JALATIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeciipaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte THEODORE JACK LONDON SHRADER
and
UMESH GOPALDAS KHATWANI

Appeal 2009-007114
Application 09/578,751
Technology Center 3600

Before: MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, ANTON W. FETTING, and BIBHU
R. MOHANTY, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

CRAWFORD, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION ON APPEAL¹

¹ The two-month time period for filing an appeal or commencing a civil action, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 1.304, or for filing a request for rehearing, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 41.52, begins to run from the “MAIL DATE” (paper delivery mode) or the “NOTIFICATION DATE” (electronic delivery mode) shown on the PTOL-90A cover letter attached to this decision.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 1-14. We have jurisdiction to review the case under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134 and 6 (2002).

The claimed invention is directed to systems and methods that provide a client-side computer program that allows users and businesses to collect and manage product price information retrieved from web sites on the Internet (Spec. 1:5-10). Claim 1, reproduced below, is further illustrative of the claimed subject matter.

1. A method in a client computer for collecting product data, comprising the computer implemented steps of:
generating, at the client computer, a profile identifying a given site URL, an item to be queried, and a scan interval;
on a periodic basis as defined by the scan interval,
retrieving data from the given site URL to the client computer;
parsing, at the client computer, the retrieved data according to a site template; and generating a data record at the client computer including an item name and an associated price value.

The references of record relied upon by the Examiner as evidence of obviousness are:

Bailey US 6,785,671 B1 Aug. 31, 2004

Streetprices.com, www.streetprices.com (last visited Jan. 25, 1999) (hereinafter "Streetprices.com").

Nathan J. Muller, *Desktop Encyclopedia of the Internet*, 1-559 (1999) (hereinafter "Muller").

Preston Gralla, *How the Internet Works, Millennium Edition*, 1-324 (1999) (hereinafter “Gralla”).

Claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Streetprices.com; or alternatively, claims 1-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Streetprices.com in view of Bailey, Muller, and Gralla².

We AFFIRM.

ISSUE

Did the Examiner err in asserting that a combination of Streetprices.com, Bailey, Muller, and Gralla renders obvious the subject matter of claims 1-14?

FINDINGS OF FACT

Streetprices.com

Streetprices.com discloses a website for finding prices of goods on the Internet.

² The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) was withdrawn (Exam'r's Ans. 11).

Appellant asserts that Streetprices.com does not retrieve product data from a given site URL (App. Br. 14). However, as Streetprices.com is a website and has a function of “[s]niffing out the best consumer prices on the web,” the product data is necessarily retrieved from websites via URLs.

Appellant asserts that Streetprices.com does not collect prices periodically (App. Br. 15). However, Streetprices.com discloses an “[i]ndex updated hourly.”

Appellant asserts that Streetprices.com does not disclose that a user can specify a particular site template from multiple site templates in the product profile, as recited in independent claim 10 (App. Br. 15). However, independent claim 10 only recites that the product profile includes a site template, and does not recite that the user can select from multiple site templates. *See CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc.*, 418 F.3d 1225, 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2005). Moreover, a site template must have been chosen at some point in order to place the data into a format, for example, in the “Chase the Trends Graphs.” The site administrator or coder who selected the site template is a user under a broadest reasonable interpretation. *See In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.*, 367 F.3d at 1364.

The decision of the Examiner to reject claims 1-14 is AFFIRMED.

No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). *See* 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(1)(iv) (2007).

AFFIRMED

Appeal 2009-007114
Application 09/578,751

hh

IBM CORP (YA)
C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES, PC
P.O. BOX 802333
DALLAS, TX 75380